Examiner-Initiated Interview Summa		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	marv	09/004,395	GILMORE ET AL.
	,,,a,y	Examiner	Art Unit
		N. M. Minnifield	1645
All Participants:		Status of Application:	
(1) <u>N. M. Minnifield</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Emily Miao</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 May 2004</u>		Time: <u>3:20 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: none			
Claims discussed: none			
Prior art documents discussed: none			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Ms. Miao's assistant (Jennifer) left a vioce mail message for Examiner Minnifield that an Appeal Brief had not been filed and that the case has been allowed to go ABN.			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
NATA SHRINEFIELD PRIMARY EXAMINER			
5/20/04			
Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/A	pplicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)